



***The Henryk Niewodniczański
Institute of Nuclear Physics***

Radiospectroscopy Division

ul. Radzikowskiego 152, 31-342 Kraków, Poland

tel.: +48 (12) 637-02-22

fax: +48 (12) 637-54-41

qamts99@alf.ifj.edu.pl

***Xth Workshop
on Quantum Atomic and Molecular
Tunnelling in Solids - Kraków '99***

26 – 30 September 1999

sponsored by
the State Committee for Scientific Research
and
the Henryk Niewodniczański Institute of Nuclear Physics

Measurement of molecular reorientations by NMR solid – echo method

P. Bilski¹⁾, N. A. Sergeev²⁾, J. Waśicki¹⁾

¹⁾Faculty of Physics, Adam Mickiewicz University, Poznań, Poland

²⁾Institute of Physics, University of Szczecin, Szczecin, Poland

The effects of nonzero pulse widths on the solid-echo signals in solids with molecular motions have been investigated. It has been shown that in the slow - motion region ($M_2 \tau_c^2 \approx 1$) the amplitude of the echo signal ($90^\circ - \tau - 90^\circ_{90} - t$) is reduced and the maximum of the echo signal is shifted to the end of the second pulse. Comparison of the developed theory with experimental results obtained on polycrystalline C_6H_6 and NH_4Cl demonstrates good agreement between them.

Using the following correlation function for the dipolar magnetic field:

$$h(|t|) = \overline{M_2} + \Delta M_2 \exp\left(-\frac{|t|}{\tau_c}\right) \quad (1)$$

and calculating the integrals

$$F(t, t_2, \tau, t_1) = \frac{1}{4} \int_0^{t_1} dt'' \int_0^{t_1} h(t'', t') dt' + \int_{t_1}^{\tau-t_1} dt'' \int_0^{t_1} h(t'', t') dt' + \int_{t_1}^{\tau-t_1} dt'' \int_{t_1}^{\tau-t_1} h(t'', t') dt' - \int_{t-\tau-t_2}^t dt'' \int_0^{t_1} h(t'', t') dt' - 2 \int_{t-\tau-t_2}^t dt'' \int_{t_1}^{\tau-t_1} h(t'', t') dt' + \int_{t-\tau-t_2}^t dt'' \int_{t-\tau-t_2}^t h(t'', t') dt', \quad (2)$$

we obtain the following expression for the solid – echo signal:

$$(t, t_2, \tau, t_1) \approx \frac{\hbar \omega}{kT} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2} \langle \overline{M_2} \rangle \left[t - \left(2\tau + t_2 - \frac{t_1}{2} \right) \right] - \langle \Delta M_2 \rangle \tau_c^2 R(t, t_2, \tau, t_1, \tau_c)\right\} \quad (3)$$

where

$$R(t, t_2, \tau, t_1, \tau_c) = -\frac{7}{4} + \frac{t}{\tau_c} - \frac{3t_1}{4\tau_c} - \frac{t_2}{\tau_c} - \frac{1}{4} \exp\left(-\frac{t_1}{\tau_c}\right) - \exp\left(-\frac{t_2}{\tau_c}\right) - \frac{1}{2} \exp\left(-\frac{t}{\tau_c}\right) + \exp\left(-\frac{\tau-t_1}{\tau_c}\right) - \frac{1}{2} \exp\left(-\frac{t-t_1}{\tau_c}\right) + \exp\left(-\frac{\tau+t_2}{\tau_c}\right) + \exp\left(-\frac{t-\tau}{\tau_c}\right) + \exp\left(-\frac{t-\tau-t_1}{\tau_c}\right). \quad (4)$$

In Eq.(4) τ_c is correlation time of the molecular motion; t_1 and t_2 are the widths of the first and second RF pulses; τ is the time interval between the RF pulses and this is the time between the beginnings of the first and second pulses; t is the time where NMR signal is observed and this time is measured from the beginning of the first pulse; $\Delta M_2 = M_2 - \langle M_2 \rangle$ where M_2 is the second moment of NMR line in „rigid” lattice of polycrystalline sample, $\langle M_2 \rangle$ is the second moment of motionally narrowed NMR line ($\tau_c^{-1} \gg M_2^{1/2}$).

From Eq.(3) it follows that at $\langle M_2 \rangle \tau_c^2 \gg 1$ (the case of rigid lattice) and $\langle M_2 \rangle \tau_c^2 \ll 1$ (the case of motionally narrowed NMR line) the maximum of solid - echo signal is observed at $t_c = 2\tau + t_2 - \frac{t_1}{2}$ [1-3]. If we consider the delta – function approximation for the RF pulses and put in Eq.(4) $t_1 = t_2 = 0$ we obtain from Eq.(3) the known result [4]. From analysis of Eq.(3) it follows that in the slow – motion regime ($\langle M_2 \rangle \tau_c^2 \approx 1$) the amplitude of the echo signal is reduced and the maximum of the echo signal is shifted to the end of the second pulse.

1. Bloom M., Davis J.H., Valic M.I., Can.J.Phys., 58, 1510-1517 (1980)
2. Henrichs P.M., Hewitt J.M., Linder M., J.Magn.Res., 60, 280-298 (1984)
3. Sergeev N.A., Solid State NMR, 10, 45-51 (1997)
4. Sergeev N.A., Ryabushkin D.S., Moskvich Yu.N., Phys.Lett., 115, 299-302 (1985)